
TN TDOT Department of Transportation



Concrete Plant Quality Control Technician Course Tennessee Department of Transportation

Volume 17.1





Concrete Plant Quality Control Technician Course

Instructors:	Jason Mellons, P.E.	<u>Michael.J.Mellons@tn.gov</u>
		(615) 350-4152
	Derek Gaw	<u>derek.gaw@tn.gov</u>
		(615) 350-4106
	Traci Smith	<u>traci.smith@tn.gov</u>
		(615) 350-4126
	David Black	<u>david.black@tn.gov</u>
		(615) 350-4163

Training Coordinator: Kim Whitby - kimberly.whitby@tn.gov

(615) 350-4158

Tennessee Department of Transportation Division of Materials and Tests • Field Operations 6601 Centennial Blvd. • Nashville • Tennessee • 37243-0360 Phone 615.350.4100 • Fax 615.350.4128





Concrete Plant Quality Control Technician Course

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Volume 17.1

Class Schedule

- 1. Registration
- 2. Introduction
- 3. Construction and Maintenance of Aggregate Stockpiles
- 4. Sampling of Aggregates (T-2)
- 5. Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Testing Size (R 76)
- 6. Total Evaporable Moisture Content of Aggregate by Drying (T-255)
- 7. Break
- 8. Moisture Correction for Aggregates
- 9. Materials Finer than 75- μ m (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing (T-11)
- 10. Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates (T-27)
- 11. Lunch
- 12. Quality Assurance/ Quality Control
- 13. Written Exam





Concrete Plant Quality Control Technician Course


Tennessee Department of Transportation


Volume 17.1

Table of Contents


Introduction	i
Sampling of Aggregates	1
Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Testing Size (R-76)	14
Total Evaporable Moisture Content of Aggregate by Drying (T-255)	24
Moisture Correction for Aggregates	32
Materials Finer than 75- μ m (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing (T-11)	37
Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates (T-27)	47
Sample Problem 1 including Fineness Modulus Sample Problem 2 including Fineness Modulus	
Quality Assurance/ Quality Control	63
Appendix	72
































Sampling of Aggregates


AASHTO T 2

ASTM D 75











Field Sample Size


	O75/D75M - 13	
	TABLE 1 Minimum Size of Field Samples	
Aggregate Size ^A	Field Sample Mass, min, kg ^g [lb]	Field Sample Volume, min, L [gal]
	Fine Aggregate	
2.36 mm [No. 8]	10 [22]	8 [2]
4.75 mm [No. 4]	10 [22]	8 [2]
	Coarse Aggregate	
9.5 mm [¾ in.]	10 [22]	8 [2]
12.5 mm [½ in.]	15 [35]	12 [3]
19.0 mm [¾ in.]	25 [55]	20 [5]
25.0 mm [1 in.]	50 [110]	40 [10]
37.5 mm [1½ in.]	75 [165]	60 [15]
50 mm [2 in.]	100 [220]	80 [21]
63 mm [2½ in.]	125 [275]	100 [26]
75 mm [3 in.]	150 [330]	120 [32]
90 mm [3½ in.]	175 [385]	140 [37]
	est Anat Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2	TTN TDOT Department of Transportation

































Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2

TN TDOT










	ging the San	iipic
Project Number:_	55001-3231-18	_
Date Sampled: 1	1 Mar 02 Submitted: 12 Mar 02	2
Sampled by:	F. Flintstone	-
Submitted by:	F. Flintstone	$-$ (\bigcirc)
Producer:	Stone Materials, Inc.	- /
	5 Sampled from: Stockpil	<u>e</u>
County: Dav	idson Region: 3	_ /





2


Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Testing Size


AASHTO R 76


ASTM C 702



























Cone and Quarter / Method B










Quartering Alternative/Method B







Miniature Stockpile / Method C






3


Total Evaporable Moisture Content of

Aggregate by Drying

AASHTO T 255

ASTM C 566









- Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2


:11. In the second s	^A Based on sieves meeting Specification E11. ^B Determine the minimum sample mass for lightweight aggregate by multiplying the value listed by the dry-loose unit mass of the aggregate in kg/m ³ (determined using Test Method C29/C29M) and dividing by 1600.
50	150 (6)
25	100 (4)
16	90 (31/2)
13	75 (3)
10	63 (21/2)
8	50 (2)
9	37.5 (11/2)
4	25.0 (1)
3	19.0 (3/4)
2	12.5 (1/2)
1.5	9.5 (3/8)
0.5	4.75 (0.187) (No. 4)
Aggregate Sample, min, kg ^B	of Aggregate, mm (in.) ^A
INIASS OF INUTITIAL WEIGHT	Nominal Maximum Size


Sample Size



















	Prac	tice							
Sample	Original	Dry	Moisture						
Number	Weight	Weight	Content						
1	588.3	570.9							
2	1556.8	1540.9							
3	1225	1220.1							
4 1665.2 1650.5									
	Control Technology	chnician Course, Grade 2	TN Department of Transportation						

	Sample	Original	Dry	Moisture				
Solutions	Number	Weight	Weight	Content				
	1	588.3	570.9					
	2	1556.8	1540.9					
	3	1225	1220.1					
	4	1665.2	1650.5					
$p_1 =$			%					
<i>p</i> ₂ = %								
$p_{3} =$			%					
$p_4 =$			%					
CO:	Couality Control	Fechnician Course, Grade 2		TN Department of Transportation				










4


Aggregate Moisture Corrections for


Concrete Batching













	CONTR	CONTRACTOR'S DAILY REPORT OF CONCRETE INSPECTION	AILY REP	ORT OF C	ONCRETE	INSPECT	N		
Date		Complete	d by Contracto	Completed by Contractor's Concrete Plant Inspector	lant inspector				
Contract No.									
Proj. Ref. No.		Coun	County (Leave blank)	blank)	•	Region	Project		
Contractor				Sub-Contractor	tractor				
Ready Mix Co.					Location				
Type of Pant Mixer				¥	ß	Date Scales Checked:	hecked:		
Transit Mixer Checked for Presence of Water	esence of V	Vater Before	Before Batching:	Tes		No			
Plant al	nd Trucks C	Hant and Trucks Checked (Form T-232):	rm T-232):	□ Yes		No	Date		
	Approved	Approved Process Control Plan:	ontrol Plan:	□ Yes		No	Date		
Daily Stockpile Check Results: Satisfactory 🗸 Unsatisfactory	lts: 🗌 Satis	sfactory 🗸	Unsatisfa	ctory	A	Aggr. Card No.	o. C.A.		
W.R.A. / Retarder oz.		A.EA. oz.	oz.				F.A.		
W.R.A. / Retarder oz.		A.EA. oz.	0Z.			Total C.Y. Batched	Batched		
Batch Size	₩	Water	Cement	Hy Ash	GGBFS	Coarse Aggregate	ggregate	Fine A	Fine Aggregate
yd³ (m³)	gal (L)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)	(kg)	sql	lbs. (kg)
Agg. Size / Other Material									
Percent Free Moisture						0.5%		4%	
SSD Batch Wts.	30		479	106		1912		1138	
Moisture Corrections	6.6					9.56		45.52	
Actual Batch Wis.	23.4					1922		1184	
Remarks									
	SC	SCREEN ANAI	LYSIS TOT	AL PERCE	ANALYSIS TOTAL PERCENT PASSING SIEVE	G SIEVE			
Size No.	2"	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	#4	#8	#200
Size No.	3/8"	#4	#8	#16	#30	#50	#100	#200	F.M.
			i						
Date Tested: C.A.	F.A.		Ha	Plant Technician	U			Cert. No.	
	The second se		uality Control	Technician (Duality Control Technician Course Grade 2	0			Transportation

uuity control Technician Course, Grade 2

Example

Batch Size	Ma	Water	Cement	Fly Ash	GGBFS	Coarse Aggregate	Fine Aggregate
m³ (yd³)	gal (L)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)				
Agg. Size / Other Material							
Percent Free Moisture						1.1%	2.5%
SSD Batch Wts.	25		425	140		1810	1229
Moisture Corrections							
Actual Batch Wts.							

36

Determine the Moisture Corrections and Actual Batch Weights for the following example:




5


Materials Finer than 75-µm (No. 200) Sieve

in Mineral Aggregates by Washing

AASHTO T 11

ASTM C117







Nominal Maximum Size ^A	Minimum Mass,
4.75 mm (No. 4) or smaller	300
Greater than 4.75 mm (No. 4) to 9.5 mm (⅔ in.)	1000
Greater than 9.5 mm (% in.)	2500
to 19.0 mm (¾ in.) Greater than 19.0 mm (¾ in.)	5000
ased on sieve sizes meeting Specification E11	












































6

Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates


AASHTO T 27

ASTM C 136









Ouality Control Technician Course, Grade 2




Field Sample Size


	D75/D75M - 13	
	TABLE 1 Minimum Size of Field Samples	
Aggregate Size ^A	Field Sample Mass, min, kg ^ß [lb]	Field Sample Volume, min, L [gal]
	Fine Aggregate	
2.36 mm [No. 8]	10 [22]	8 [2]
4.75 mm [No. 4]	10 [22]	8 [2]
	Coarse Aggregate	
9.5 mm [¾ in.]	10 [22]	8 [2]
12.5 mm [½ in.]	15 [35]	12 [3]
19.0 mm [¾ in.]	25 [55]	20 [5]
25.0 mm [1 in.]	50 [110]	40 [10]
37.5 mm [1½ in.]	75 [165]	60 [15]
50 mm [2 in.]	100 [220]	80 [21]
63 mm [2½ in.]	125 [275]	100 [26]
75 mm [3 in.]	150 [330]	120 [32]
90 mm [3½ in.]	175 [385]	140 [37]


ole of coarse	Size,	TN TDOT Department of Transportation
e of the test samp following:	Test Sample Size, min, kg (lb) 1 (2) 2 (4) 5 (11) 10 (22) 15 (33) 20 (44) 35 (77) 60 (130) 100 (220)	an Course, Grade 2
7.4 <i>Coarse Aggregate</i> —The size of the test sample of coarse aggregate shall conform with the following:	Nominal Maximum Size, Square Openings, mm (in.) 9.5 (3%) 12.5 (1/2) 19.0 (3/4) 25.0 (1) 37.5 (11/2) 50 (2) 63 (21/2) 50 (2) 63 (21/2) 75 (3) 90 (31/2)	Contect - Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2

Test Sample Size









Weighing

Weigh the sample to the nearest 0.1 of a unit of the original sample mass.

TN TDOT



ity Control Technician Course, Grade 2

Maximum Loading of Sieves

TABLE 1 Maximum Allowable Quantity of Material Retained on a

Š	
Φ	
>	
Ð	
S	

		Nominal Di	Nominal Dimensions of Sieve ^A	Sieve ^A	
Sieve Opening	203.2-mm dia ^B	254-mm dia ^B	304.8-mm dia ^B	350 by 350 mm	372 by 580 mm
Size, mm		Siev	Sieving Area, m ²		
	0.0285	0.0457	0.0670	0.1225	0.2158
125	v	o	o	O	67.4
100	U	S	C	30.6	53.9
06	O	O	15.1	27.6	48.5
75	U	8.6	12.6	23.0	40.5
63	U	7.2	10.6	19.3	34.0
50	3.6	5.7	8.4	15.3	27.0
37.5	2.7	4.3	6.3	11.5	20.2
25.0	1.8	2.9	4.2	7.7	13.5
19.0	1.4	2.2	3.2	5.8	10.2
12.5	0.89	1.4	2.1	3.8	6.7
9.5	0.67	1.1	1.6	2.9	5.1
4.75	0.33	0.54	0.80	1.5	2.6
^A Sieve frame diameter; 13.8	dimensions ir 3 by 13.8 in.	⁴ Sieve frame dimensions in inch units: 8.0-in. diameter; 10.0-in. diameter, 12.0-in. diameter; 13.8 by 13.8 in. (14 by 14 in. nominal); 14.6 by 22.8 in. (16 by 24 in.	in. diameter; 1(minal); 14.6 b	0.0-in. diam y 22.8 in.	leter, 12.0-in. (16 by 24 in.
Iloumany.					



Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2


^B The sieve area for round sieve frames is based on an effective diameter 12.7 mm (1/2 in.) less than the nominal frame diameter, because Specification E11 permits the sealer between the sieve cloth and the frame to extend 6.35 mm (1/4 in.) over

diameter sieve frame is 190.5 mm (7.5 in.). Sieves produced by some manufacthe sieve cloth. Thus the effective sieving diameter for a 203.2-mm (8.0-in.)

turers do not infringe on the sieve cloth by the full 6.35 mm (1/4 in.).

^C Sieves indicated have less than five full openings and should not be used for

sieve testing except as provided in 8.6.



le Problem #1	AASHTO Loss =	Original Sample Mass - Sum of Individual Wt. X 100 Original Sample Mass											TN TDOT
Sample	507.8	Individual Weight Retained (g)	0.0	51.0	98.0	106.0	117.0	95.0	29.0	11.0			
	Sample s (g)	iize or lation	No. 4	No. 8	No. 16	No. 30	No. 50	No. 100	No. 200	< No. 200	al		/
	Original Sample Mass (g)	Sieve Size or Designation	4.75 mm	2.36 mm	1.18 mm	600 um	300 um	150 um	75 um	< 75 um	Total		



- Ouality Control Technician Course, Grade 2

Conte

Sample Problem # 1

507 R	0.000
Original	Sample

Sieve :	Sieve Size or	Individual Weight	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
Desigi	Designation	Retained (g)	Percent Retained	Percent Retained Percent Retained Percent Passing	Percent Passing
4.75 mm No. 4	No. 4	0.0			
2.36 mm No. 8	No. 8	51.0			
1.18 mm	No. 16	98.0			
600 um	No. 30	106.0			
300 um	No. 50	117.0			
150 um	No. 100	95.0			
75 um	No. 200	29.0			
< 75 um	< No. 200	11.0			



- Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2

Fineness Modulus

Sieve	Cumulative Percent
	Retained
3 in	
1 1/2 in	
3/4 in	
3/8 in	
No. 4	
No. 8	
No. 16	
No. 30	
No. 50	
No. 100	
Total	
FM	
Conc	- Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2



Sample Problem #2	AASHTO Loss = Original Sample Mass –Sum of Individual Weights	Original Sample Mass											IN	Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2
Sample	91.2	Individual Weight Retained (a)		0.0	6.0	20.0	16.0	13.0	28.0	8.0	0.0			Contaction
	Original Sample Mass (g)	Sieve Size or Designation	3 in	2 in	n 1 1/2 in	1 in	3/4 in	n 1/2 in	3/8 in	n No.4	< 4.75 mm < No. 4	Total		
	Origii N	Siev	75 mm	50 mm	37.5 mm	25 mm	19 mm	12.5 mm	9.5 mm	4.75 mm	< 4.75 n			

Sample Problem # 2

с 10 С	7.10
Original	Sample

Sieve	Sieve Size or	Individual	Individual	Cumulative	Cumulative
Desig	Designation	Weight Retained	Percent Retained	Weight Retained Percent Retained Percent Retained	Percent Passing
75 mm	3 in	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
50 mm	2 in	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
37.5 mm	1 1/2 in	6.0			
25 mm	1 in	20.0			
19 mm	3/4 in	16.0			
12.5 mm	1/2 in	13.0			
9.5 mm	3/8 in	28.0			
4.75 mm No. 4	No. 4	8.0			
< 4.75 mm < No. 4	< No. 4	0.0			



Ouality Control Technician Course, Grade 2

Fineness Modulus

Sieve	Cumulative Percent
	Retained
3 in	
1 1/2 in	
3/4 in	
3/8 in	
No. 4	
No. 8	
No. 16	
No. 30	
No. 50	
No. 100	
Total	
Ţ	
Conce	- Quality Control Technician Course, Grade 2






7

Quality Assurance / Quality Control





Quality Assurance

- Associated with Acceptance
- Performed by TDOT or a TDOT representative

• Examples:

- Air Content
- Slump
- Compressive Strength



TN TDOT

TN TDOT

Quality Control


ity Control Technician Course, Grade 2


AASHTO states:


"The sum total of activities performed by the seller to make sure that a product meets contract specifications."





lity Control Technician Course, Grade 2































Appendix





Concrete Plant Quality Control Technician Course

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Volume 17.1

Table of Contents

<u>Appendix</u>

•	Inspection of Process Control Concrete Plant or Bridge Deck	
•	Concrete Plant Records	75
•	Annual Batch Plant Concrete Process Control Plan Part 1 of 2	
•	Placement Site Concrete Process Control Plan Part 2 of 2	
•	Contractor's Daily Report of Concrete Inspection (Form DT-0311)	
•	Portland Cement Concrete Bridge Deck Pour	
	(Form DT-0311 Attachment A)	
•	Daily Report of Cement Concrete Paving (Form DT-0292)	
•	Concrete Cylinder/Core Test Report (Form DT-0062)	
•	Concrete Delivery Ticket (Form DT-1756)	
•	Ready-Mix Concrete Producer Comment Form	
•	Truck Weight Limits Circular Letter	
•	Example of Truck Weight Limits Calculation	
•	Concrete Truck Inspection Checklist	
•	Bridge Deck Construction Checklist Circular Letter	
•	Certified Technicians	105
•	Concrete Constituent Materials	106
•	Contractor Material Certification and/or Sampling & Testing Record	
	(Form DT-0044)	107

INSPECTION OF PROCESS CONTROL CONCRETE PLANT OR BRIDGE DECK POUR

Date:	
Producer:	
Plant:	
Region:	
HQ M&T Inspector:	
Regional M&T Representative:	
Plant Representative:	
For Deck Pours:	
Contract:	
Contractor:	
Project Manager:	
Engineer:	
Regional Inspector:	
Additional Remarks (major deficiencies, se	cheduled follow-up visits, etc.):

CONCRETE PLANT QUALITY CONTROL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION ITEM	YES	NO	COMMENTS
Is the process control plan posted in plain view at the plant?			
Does the Process Control include all TDOT or ACI qualified			
Concrete Class 2 or higher technicians employed by the concrete			
supplier that may be responsible for any concrete testing, plant			
operations or any changes involving concrete mixing?			
Is a copy of the Concrete Class 2 or Class 3 certification for these			
personnel posted on the wall or available upon request?			
Does the concrete producer have an approved concrete design for			
the type of concrete being produced?			
Are gradations on fine and coarse aggregate being run according to			
the recommended (weekly or minimum 500 C.Y. for bridge decks			
& other) (1,500 C.Y. for roadway paving), including fineness			
modulus tests and recorded in the files at the concrete plant			
location?			
Are weight checks of aggregate, cement, fly-ash, water, and			
admixtures being recorded and placed in the files?			
Are moisture checks and calculations being made on stockpiles			
before any batching of concrete? These checks can be made by the			
use of moisture probes or other. At least, one (1) check shall be			
made in the AM and one (1) in the PM. Are the checks being			
made and is this information being recorded?			
Have scale checks been made on the aggregate and cement scales			
with a TDOT representative present? Have the scale checks been			
recorded and posted at the plant?			
Are the aggregate stockpiles being kept cool on hot days and warm			
on cold days by some approved method? Is there a qualified technician at the plant to do QC testing as			
concrete is being batched?			
Are calculated corrections recorded for adjustments made when			
water is withheld or added on the delivery ticket? (ice should also			
be shown in adjustments)			
Do all the batch tickets reflect the adjustments to the concrete			
mixture at the plant such as added water, ice, admixtures and etc?			
Is the initial slump, air, and temperature tests being performed			
from the first concrete delivery truck for a particular pour and is			
this information being recorded?			
Is the plant keeping records of any and all adjustments made to the			
concrete at the plant such as adding ice?			
Is a list posted at the plant of approved concrete trucks? (The list			
shall be in a conspicuous location and kept up-dated for accuracy).			
(A copy of this list shall be sent to Regional M & T every 6			
months).			
Has TDOT M & T checked the concrete lab this year and is the		1	
completed plant check posted in a conspicuous place that indicates			
the plant meets the specifications meets all lab requirements and is			
an approved concrete supplier?			
Does the concrete supplier keep a record of all tests and		1	
inspections performed at the plant by QC personnel?			
	1		1

	1 1	
Are the records kept in order, current, and readily available at the		
concrete plant for review at any time by TDOT personnel and/or		
FHWA representatives?		
Is the wash water being dumped from all trucks before batching		
operations begin?		
Are the aggregate stockpiles separated in an approved fashion and		
uncontaminated?	├	
You are being asked to observe a concrete truck being loaded to		
send to a TDOT project. Does the concrete truck have a working		
revolution counter? Does the concrete truck have a manufacturers'		
identification plate? Does the concrete truck have a working water		
meter?		
Are records of delivery tickets of all materials such as coarse and		
fine aggregates, cement, fly-ash and all other admixtures and		
additives used for state projects kept in the plant files until the		
project is complete?		
Is it raining too hard to continue batching concrete?		
Is it too hot or cold to be batching concrete?		
Are the admixtures being introduced into the concrete trucks at the		
correct time and in the correct dosage?		
Is the slump of the concrete within specifications and being		
checked before any High Range Water Reducer (or super-		
plasticizer) is being added?		
Do the concrete trucks have the tare, maximum interstate, and		
maximum non-interstate weight limits marked on the driver's side		
of the truck and visible at a distance of 50 feet (a minimum of 2-		
inch lettering is recommended?		
Is there any "Completed Projects" documentation left in the		
Concrete Supplier's files that has not been picked up by the Project		
Supervisor or his representative for incorporation into the project		
final records for those "Completed Projects"?		
	+ +	
	+	
	+	

CONCRETE PLANT RECORDS MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS

- 1. The contractor/material supplier shall keep a **record of all tests and inspections performed** at the plant by QC personnel. The records shall be kept current and shall be readily available at the concrete plant for review at any time by TDOT personnel and/or FHWA representatives. It is required that a file cabinet or other suitable filing system be maintained at the concrete plant with this information and documentation.
- 2. A process control plan shall be posted in plain view at the plant location. This process control shall include all TDOT or ACI qualified Concrete Class 2 or higher technicians employed by the concrete supplier that may be responsible for any concrete testing, plant operations or any changes involving concrete mixing. A copy of the Concrete Class 2 or 3 certification for these personnel should be available upon request at the plant.
- 3. Gradations on fine and coarse aggregate (including fineness modulus tests) shall be maintained in a file at the concrete plant location. According to the minimum requirements of the specifications a gradation must be run weekly or every 1500 C.Y. for 501 Items and every 500 C.Y. for 604 Items.
- 4. Checks on accurate weightings of aggregates, cement, fly-ash, water and admixtures shall be maintained in the records at the plant site. Scales shall be inspected and checked as often as the Engineer may deem necessary to assure their continued accuracy. The scale checks must be recorded and documentation of this shall be retained in the project files at the plant.
- 5. **Moisture checks and calculations** shall be made on stockpiles before any batching of materials. These checks can be made by the use of moisture probes or other means but this information must be recorded and the documentation retained in the project files at the plant. At least, one (1) check shall be made in the A.M. and one (1) check in the P.M.
- 6. Calculated corrections or adjustments made for water withheld or added shall be recorded. If ice is used, the calculations shall reflect this adjustment in the batching information. All batch tickets must reflect these adjustments also. Retain documentation of these adjustments in the plant files.
- 7. An initial slump, air and temperature of the concrete shall be made from the first truck to be loaded out for delivery to the job-site. If a deck pour is involved, the first three (3) loads should be checked to ensure each load complies or it may be rejected at the job-site for failure to meet specifications (refer to SOP 4-1). Also, the slump before the addition of the HRWRA or MRWRA shall be 3 inches maximum. Any check for slump shall be more than a visual check. An actual slump tests shall be performed. Random checks on the slump, air and temperature shall continue throughout all concrete pours and be documented. Documentation of this information shall be retained at the plant.
- 8. Keep **records of delivery tickets** of all materials such as coarse and fine aggregates, cement, fly-ash and all other admixtures and additives used for state projects at the plant site.
- 9. Record any and all adjustments made to the concrete at the plant. These records will be maintained at the plant site and given to the Project Supervisor at the conclusion of the project along with a letter stating the concrete incorporated into the work meets the requirements of the specifications as outlined in Section 501 or 604, whichever is applicable. It shall be the responsibility of the project supervisor to collect this documentation and records at the conclusion of the project.
- 10. Truck checks are to be made on a periodic basis, checking for the blade wear, working revolutions counters, identification plates and water meters. A copy of these checks must be sent to the Regional Materials & Tests office at least every six (6) months or whenever trucks are added or taken away from the fleet. Trucks not shown on the updated list are not to be used on a state project. Post a copy of the active list of approved trucks in a conspicuous place at the concrete plant. Retain historical records of these periodic truck checks in the files at the plant.
- 11. A completed plant check by TDOT Materials & Tests shall be posted in a conspicuous place that indicates the plant meets the specification requirements and is an approved material supplier for concrete.

ANNUAL BATCH PLANT CONCRETE PROCESS CONTROL PLAN PART 1 OF 2

DATE:

READY MIX CONCRETE COMPANY: _____

READY MIX COMPANY LOCATION: _____

All qualified TDOT Level 2		
or higher qualified Concrete	NAME:	CERT.#
Technicians shall be listed in this section or on attached	NAME:	CERT.#
sheets. Include every technician	NAME:	CERT.#
that will be working on this		
project and update as needed.	NAME:	CERT.#

We hereby propose to utilize the below listed process controls to insure that the concrete delivered to the above referenced project meets Tennessee Department of Transportation Specifications. If approved, this plan will be posted at the concrete plant along with approved mix designs for each particular project.

The following Sampling, Testing, and Inspections will be performed by T.D.O.T. Certified Plant Technicians.

- 1.) Tests to determine aggregate gradations (AASHTO T-27 with T-11 when required) will be performed prior to any batching and then a minimum of once per week or every 500 C.Y. for each source of aggregate utilized for this project. *Perform fineness modulus test on fine aggregate per AASHTO M-6 with each gradation.*
- 2.) Stockpiles will be checked daily to insure that they are being maintained in an uncontaminated and unsegregated manner. Current aggregate quality reports shall be kept on file at the plant.
- 3.) Calibration of weighing systems for aggregates, cement, fly ash, water meters, and admixture dispensing systems will be performed at the beginning of the project, then every month or as conditions warrant. Scale checks may be performed by a Certified Scale Company at a minimum interval of six (6) months.
- 4.) Assurance of accurate weighing, proper metering, and mixing of all materials and the quality of water will be verified daily.
- 5.) Mixing trucks and/or equipment, counters, concrete build up in drums, blade wear, water gauges, etc. will be checked at the beginning of each project and randomly thereafter. Transport trucks shall be checked and approved by Tennessee Department of Transportation before use. The Producer shall update the concrete truck checklist every six (6) months and distribute to Regional Materials and Tests.

Annual Batch Plant Cont. Page 2

- 6.) Adjustment of mix proportions due to the moisture content of both fine and course aggregates will be performed prior to initial daily mixing and again in the afternoon if operations are continuous through AM and PM hours of the day. Moisture determination will be in accordance with AASHTO-T255. Moisture Probes may be utilized but must be correlated and verified with a dry moisture check weekly.
- 7.) Slump (AASHTO T119), air entrainment (AASHTO T-152 AASHTO T-196 for concrete containing light weight aggregates) and ambient air and mix temperatures shall be checked for specifications compliance on the initial load and randomly thereafter for each day's run. Air loss during transport shall be determined on initial loads and randomly verified thereafter.
- 8.) If Class "D" Concrete is included in the plans, SOP 4-1 is applicable. The Producer/Contractor shall check slump and air at the plant initially and randomly throughout pour to assure that the requirements are met.
- 9.) An approved report will be furnished daily to the project supervisor showing all pertinent information. Records of tests and inspections that are project specific and not included on the daily reports are to be maintained and submitted to the project supervisor upon project completion. Documents that are plant and lab specific shall be maintained at the plant systematically.
- 10.) An approved delivery ticket will accompany each load sent to the project. All information including actual batch weights of each component identified as well as other information in the Standard Specification shall be identified on the delivery ticket.

The above scheduled frequencies of testing are a minimum. Should problems become evident, they will be increased as the conditions require.

Sign Name:

Representative Concrete Supplier

Print Name: _

Representative Concrete Supplier

PLACEMENT SITE CONCRETE PROCESS CONTROL PLAN PART 2 OF 2

DATE:		
CONTRACT NO:		
PROJECT NO:		
REFERENCE NO:		
COUNTY:		
CONTRACTOR:		
READY MIX COMPANY A	ND LOCATION:	

PRIME CONTRACTOR: _____

All qualified Field Technician or higher	NAME:	CERT.#
qualified Concrete Technicians shall be listed in this section or on attached sheets. Include every technician that will be working on this project and update as	NAME:	CERT.#
	NAME:	CERT.#
	NAME:	CERT.#

We hereby propose to utilize the below listed process controls to insure that the concrete incorporated in the work on the above referenced project meets Tennessee Department of Transportation's specifications. If approved, this plan will be posted on the project at a place accessible to all quality control personnel.

Initial concrete loads at the beginning of pours will be checked for specification compliance prior to use. Loads that test out of specification will be rejected. All sampling, testing, and inspections will be performed by ACI or TDOT Certified Personnel.

1.) Tests for slump (AASHTO T-119), air and mix temperatures, and air content (AASHTO T-152 / T-196) will be performed prior to placement of the first load and for each sample from which early and/or 28 day test cylinders are obtained. For bridge decks, slump, temperatures, and air content tests shall be performed on the first three loads. Thereafter, they shall be conducted at least once every fifty cubic yards (50cy). No concrete shall be placed when the rate of moisture evaporation from the freshly placed concrete exceeds 0.2 lb/ft²/hr as determined by Figure 2.1.5, American Concrete Institute Publication "ACI 305R-89." If data collected during the 24 hours prior to the pour or predictions from the National Weather Service indicate the moisture evaporation rate of 0.2 lb/ft²/hr or more, the pour should be rescheduled or the Contractor shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Engineer prior to the pour, that protection can be provided.

2.) Early test specimens for Tennessee Department of Transportation compression testing will be cast in accordance with AASHTO T-23. The Contractor shall supply the necessary curing equipment, molds, and wheelbarrow as identified in Standard Specification Subsection 604.03(b) and a temporary storage facility in accordance with Standard Specification Subsection Subsection 722.09. The frequency of casting early break cylinders will be as follows:

For Bridge Decks:

Not less than one pair to represent every fifty cubic yards (50cy). See SOP 1-1 and 4-1

For Major Structures:

Contractor shall perform all tests on the first load. At least one pair of cylinders will be made per unit per structure to represent up to 100cy for that unit of pour. See SOP 1-1

For Minor Structures:

Contractor shall perform all tests on the first load. At least one pair of cylinders will be made to represent up to 100cy for that unit of pour. See SOP 1-1

For Small Quantities:

As specified in the Standard Specifications Subsection 604.03 and SOP 1-1.

For Concrete Pavement:

One pair for each 300m³ (400 cy) minimum of 1 pair AM and 1 pair PM. If Class A is used, the frequency shall be as for major structures as listed above.

- 3.) Yield tests will be performed in accordance with AASHTO T-121 initially per mix design, at 240m³ (300cy) intervals and/or during pours exceeding 80m³ (100 cy), and/or one for each bridge deck pour.
- 4.) A Tennessee Department of Transportation approved report will be furnished daily showing all pertinent information (Date, Contract, Item Number(s), Batch Weights, Moisture Corrections, Admixtures, Slump, Air Content, Temperatures, etc.) A delivery ticket shall accompany each load. Information to be included shall be in accordance with Section 604 of the Standard Specifications. Records of tests and inspections performed at both the batch and placement sites will be submitted to the project supervisor upon completion of the project. This submission will also include certification that the concrete incorporated into the work meets Tennessee Department of Transportation specifications.

The above scheduled frequencies of testing are a minimum, should problems become evident, they will be increased as the conditions require.

Sign Name:		Print Name:				
	Representative Prime Contractor		Representative Prime Contractor			
Sign Name:		Print Name:				
-	Sub-Contractor		Sub-Contractor			

Item	No(s)	501.02

Report No.	345
Design No.	02-999

STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF MATERIALS AND TESTS



NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0360

6601 CENTENNIAL BLVD.

CONTRACTOR'S			CONCRETE	INSPECTION
CUNIKACIUK 3	DAILT	REPORT OF	CONCRETE	INSPECTION

TO BE DELIVERED TO THE PROJECT WITH THE INITIAL LOAD	

Date 12-Jan-03	3		Comple	ted by Contract	or's Concrete Pl	ant Inspector	$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$		$\forall \Pi \Box$	마르
Contract No.	5678						Ś		JUL	
Proj. Ref. No.	SP		Coun	ty <u>Mon</u>	tgomery	F	Region 4	Project	12345-6789	9-10
Contractor	Wrong Bro	S.			Sub-Co	ntractor	Billy Bob			
Ready Mix Co.	City Ready	Mix Co.			L	ocation	Clarksville, 1	N		
	Type of Plant Mixer Date Scales Checked: 08-Aug-01									
Transit Mixer Chee	cked for Pres	ence of Wate	r Before Ba	atching:	V Y	es	No			
	Plant	and Trucks C	checked (Fo	orm T-232):	V Y	es 🗌	No	Date	01-Au	g-01
		<u> </u>		ontrol Plan:		es	No	Date _	01-Ju	
Daily Stockpile (] Unsatisfa	ctory		Aggr. Card I	No. C.A.		
W.R.A. / Retard	-					-		F.A		
W.R.A. / Retard	er oz.		A.E.A. (DZ		-	Total C.Y	'. Batched	300)
Batch Si		Wat	er	Cement	Fly Ash	GGBFS	Coarse A	Aggregate	Fine A	ggregate
	m ³ (yd ³)	gal (L)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)	lbs. (kg)	lbs.	(kg)	lbs	(kg)
Agg. Size / Oth	er Material									
Percent Mo										
Dry Batch		30		479	106		1912		1138	
Moisture Cor		6.6					9.56		45.52	
Actual Batc	h Wts.	23.4					1922		1184	
Remarks										
				LYSIS TOT			1	<u>г</u>	r 1	
Size No.		2"	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	#4	#8	#200
CA		100	100	100	89.2	36.1	18.1	3.6	2.8	
Cine Ne		3/8"	#4	#8	#40	#30	#50	#100	#200	E M
Size No. FA		3/8 100	#4 96.3	#0 88.8	#16 <u>81.8</u>	#30 63.4	#50 9.3	#100 0.5	#200 0.2	F.M. 2.6
		100	00.0	00.0	01.0	00.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.0
Date Tested: C.	Α	F.A.		Pla	nt Technicia	n			Cert. No.	
24.0 . 00.001 0.										
				TION REPO			NODETE			
				actor's Q.C. Plac			-			
Locations Used or	n Project	Paved Ditch								
Air Temp:	High	90	Lo	w <u>85</u>		Br	and or Type	of Curing	water	
Method of Curing	Cyl.					Technica	n Responsib	ole for Cyl.	James Will	iams
						A	verage Time	in Truck	30 min.	
Cylinder No.	Stati	ion Made	Slump	% Air	Mix Te	mp. Aç	e of Test	(Cylinder Mad	e By
297, 297A	g	00+00	3.25	5.1	80	2	28 days		Jones Bros	
Ticket Number										
Ticket Numbers Additional Water F	Pequirod: Dec	adway (Cala)			Requested	by:				
Remarks:	Vequired. ROS	auway (Gais).			Requested					
				Contractor -	Technician	- Chim	Working .	ma r	Cert No 1	234
Contractor Technician Cert. No. 1234										

Original to:

Report No.			STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIVISION OF MATERIALS AND TESTS 6601 CENTENNIAL BLVD. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0360				
		PORTL	AND CEMENT			K POUR	
Date			Completed by Contract	tor's Concrete Placer	nent Site Technician		
Contract No.			_				
Proj. Ref. No.			County		Regi	on Proje	ct
Contractor Ready Mix Co.				Sub-Co	ntractor		
End Configurat		90 Loop	J-Hook	Diffu	Iser Hose	Horizontal on I	Mat
	—						
			ed By Contractor's Q.C.				
			np, and temperature; ndomly every 50 CY		ers are to be made	from one of the firs	t 3 trucks. Acceptance cylinders,
	Concrete	Slump	Air Content		ature, °F		T (15
Cylinder No.	Represented	in.	%	Mix	Air	QC or Acc.	Tested By
Remarks:	1	1			1	1	1
			TDOT Inspector				Cert. No.
		Contr	actor Technician				Cert. No.
Original to: Headquarters Mat	terials and Tests						

Headquarters Materials and Te Copies to: Regional Materials and Tests Project Supervisor Form DT-0311 Attachment A

Reviewed by: TDOT Project Supervisor or Representative

Date

FOR INFORMATION ONLY - PUMPING AIR LOSS The same truck shall be sampled at the chute and at the end of hose; 2 minimum per pour.D21							
Cylinder No. Air Content at Truck Air Content at Pump Hose % Difference							



STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIVISION OF MATERIALS AND TESTS 6601 CENTENNIAL BLVD. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0360



DAILY REPORT OF CEMENT CONCRETE PAVING

This Section To Be Completed By Producer 01-Aug-02 Section No. 501-01.02 Date Pav Item Contract No. 18-May-03 Region Report No. County 13 Dickson 3 Project Reference No. Route No. SP I-40 Project No. 12345-6789-10 Source of Cement Location Cape Girardeau, Missouri Lonestar Source of Fly Ash M.R.S. Location Joppa, Illinois Source of F.A. Ingram Materials Location Clarksville, Tennessee Source of C.A. Vulcan Materials Location Dickson, Tennessee C.A. SIZE 4 CEMENT FLY ASH WATER F.A. C.A. SIZE 67 C.A. SIZE GALS. LBS. (LBS.) (LBS.) (LBS.) LBS. LBS. LBS. Specific Gravity 3.15 2.67 2.61 2.63 2.63 1 13.97 7.44 2.91 28.48 Solid Volume Proportions 21.09 21.11 Ave. Daily Free Moisture Dry Batch Amounts 28 235 395 131 1253 935 935 1344 0.9 Moisture Correction 0.9 Actual Batch Amounts 730 918 918 17.08 Concrete Laid - Sta. 384+73 to Sta. 387+40 Surface Pavement Length 267' Cross Section Width Right or Left of C/L Variable Bridge - Sta. to Sta. Equation - Sta. =Sta. Extra Width - Sq. Yds. Concrete Cu. Yds. Concrete No. Batches Total Sq. Yds. Concrete 217.1 Total Cu. Yds. Concrete 29-Feb-00 Air Temp.: A.M. P.M. 75 94 Concrete Temp.: A.M. P.M. Brand A.E.A. Cure Type or Brand **90** Mas. Bld. To Be Completed By Contractor's Q.C. Placement Site Technician from T-2A Information STATION NO. OF SPECIMENS CEMENT USED Ins. Spec. No. Test Cylinders Station to Station Truck or Car No. Tons Slump Áir No. 5.2 384+73 to 387+40 384+73 387+40 3 1175 1 2.5 5.3 1176 2 384+73 to 387+40 384+73 387+40 CALCULATED WATER CHANGES ACTUAL

Size of Batch in Cu. Yds.

Design No.

Remarks:

Inspection Card Nos.: C.A. 3456; F.A. 3457 Contractor: Wright Bros.; Subcontractor: H.M.C; Concrete supplied by IMI.

10

02-1234

Original to: Headquarters Materials and Tests Copies to: Regional Materials and Tests Project Supervisor

Signature

% Size No. 67

Title **Project Inspector**

30

Form DT-0292 (Rev. 10-02)

82

30

% Size No. 4



STATE OF TENNESSEE **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** DIVISION OF MATERIALS AND TESTS 6601 CENTENNIAL BLVD. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0360

CONCRETE CYLINDER/CORE TEST REPORT

Information to be completed by TDOT personnel for cylinders/cores tested for acceptance/assurance

Reference No.		Co	unty		Region			
Project No.					Date			
Contractor Placing Concrete	tractor Placing Concrete Vol			ume	me Poured this Date (m ³ , yd ³)			
Daily Report No.		Da	te of Pour		Requested Age of Test			
Concrete Dreducer		Lo	cation					
	Cyl./Core Numbers Volume Repre				nted by Cyls/Cores (m ³ , yd ³)			
Design Number		esign Streng			Concrete Class			
TDOT Supervisor		D	ate Placed	in W	Net Curing Environment			
Item Number								
Pay Quantity								
Quantity Delivered								
Sta. of Cyl./Core								
Description of Pour(s):								
Remarks:								
Laboratory Test Data (ASTM C-39, C	-511, and C	1231)		Field Test Data			
Cylinder No. / Core No.					Slump, in. (ASTM C-143)			
Serial No.					Air Temp., °F			
Date Received					Concrete Temp., °F (ASTM C-1064)			
Date Tested					% Air (ASTM C-173, Volumetric)			
Date Reported					% Air (ASTM C-231 Pressure)			
Diameter (in)					Unit Weight (Ibs/ft ³) (ASTM C-138)			
Cross-sectional Area (in ²)					Performed by/ Cert. No.			
Maximum Load (lbf)					Contractor Observer/Cert. No.			
Compressive Strength (psi)					FOR LAB USE ONLY			
Ave. Compressive Strength (psi)					DIA: + =			
					DIV BY 2 = AVERAGE =			
Type of Fracture	X Cone	Cone			CONDITION OF CYLINDER: GOOD FAIR POOR			
	Cone and Split	and S Shear	Shear Columna	r				
Performed by	┟────┤				COMMENTS:			
Technician Certification No.		nditioned using	ASTM C 1221		less >12000 PSI then ASTM C-617 will be used			
Original to:	nn be capped a CO		17.0110-1231	unit				
Headquarters Materials and Tests Copies to: Regional Materials and Tests			Approved by	/				
Project Supervisor					Director of Materials and Tests			
			Date					
Contractor: Received by	Date	SN	/I Sample ID)				
Form DT-0062 (Rev. 06-17)								



CONCRETE DELIVERY TICKET

Date:			Ticket #
Contract #	County	Region	Load #
Project #	Proj	j. Ref. #	
Conc. Design #	Concrete Class:	No. Cubic Yards:	Actual W/C :

			ACTUAL	TARGET ³	TOLERANCE
CEMENT		lbs.			
FLYASH	F C	lbs.			
SLAG		lbs.			
ROCK		lbs.			
SAND		lbs.			
WATER		gal.			

		ACTUAL	TARGET
A.E.A.	oz.		
W.R.A.	oz.		
WATER	oz.		
MISC.	oz.		

Will accept computer generated equivalent

Max. water allowed ¹ (Actual)		Gallons
Total water ² (Plant)		Gallons
Max. water allowed (Project)		Gallons
Water added (Project)		Gallons
No. Rev. @ Mixing Speed (Plant)		
No. Rev. @ Mixing Speed (Project)		
Time loaded:	Time discharged:	
Truck No	Loc. Sta	
	(Unit of Structure)	
Print Name (Plant Tech)	Plant Tech Cert. No.	Plant Tech. Signature
Print Name (Inspector at delivery point)	Field Tech Cert. No. (TDOT Rep.)	Inspector Signature
1 Based on actual cementious material allowed by design		
2 Actual used at plant		
3 May be adjusted to meet specification requirements.		



Ready-Mix Concrete Producer Comment Form

Concrete Producer:

Producer Location:

Contract/Project #:

Contractor:

TDOT/CEI Inspector:

Please leave detailed comments concerning the sampling, handling, testing, or curing of concrete on TDOT projects below and e-mail to michael.j.mellons@tn.gov. All comments will be reviewed and addressed accordingly.

E-Signed:

Date:

Company:

E-mail address:

CIRCULAR LETTER

SECTION:109.01 MEASUREMENT OF QUANTITIESNUMBER:109.01-02SUBJECT:TRUCK WEIGHT LIMITSDATE:JANUARY 1, 2010

The Department now will require that all weight tickets conform to the new limits outlined on these sheets as required by law.

Interstate weight limits shall apply when hauling on any of the following:

- a) Ramps entering or exiting the interstate system.
- b) Any portion of an existing interstate open or previously opened to traffic.
- c) The surface course of a new interstate facility (never opened to public traffic). However, Non-Interstate Highway limits will apply to hauling on the subgrade or base courses of newly constructed interstate widening projects if accessed by noninterstate routes.
- d) New and existing structures on interstates.

In consideration of the status of construction, relative to the present federal interstate system, it is considered that the above determinations provide adequate guidance as to the applicability of interstate truck weights.

SECTION I: Non-Interstate Highway

- Two axle truck (one front, one rear) 20,000# each axle Maximum gross weight = 40,000# *
- 2) Three axle straight (one front, tandem rear) Front axle = 20,000# Tandem axle = 34,000# Maximum gross weight = 54,000# *

Exception: Class 9 tag or zone tag Maximum gross weight = 66,000# *

3) Four axle straight (one front, three rear) Front axle = 20,000# Single axle rear = 20,000# Tandem axle = 34,000# Maximum gross weight = 74,000# *

4) Three axle truck tractor and trailer (one axle front of tractor, one rear of tractor, one rear of trailer)

Front axle = 20,000# Rear axle Tractor = 20,000# Rear axle Trailer = 20,000# Maximum gross weight = 60,000# *

5) Four axle truck tractor and trailer (one front of tractor, one rear of tractor, tandem rear of trailer)

Front axle Tractor = 20,000# Rear axle Tractor = 20,000# Tandem axle Trailer = 34,000# Maximum gross weight = 74,000# *

6) Four axle truck tractor and trailer (one front of tractor, tandem rear of tractor, one rear of trailer)

Front axle Tractor = 20,000# Tandem rear Tractor = 34,000# Single axle Trailer = 20,000# Maximum gross weight = 74,000# *

7) Five axle tractor and trailer (one front of tractor, tandem rear of tractor, tandem rear of trailer)

Maximum gross weight = 80,000# *

* A tolerance of up to 500 pounds will be allowed over the maximum gross weight.

SECTION II: Interstate Highway (Contracts Let On or After October 31, 2008)

Per Section 107.02 of the Standard Specifications, all trucks delivering material (rock, asphalt, concrete, etc.) to construction projects shall display the allowable gross weight for the Interstate System on the side of the truck. The Bridge Formula shall be used to determine Interstate System gross weights as defined below and in the attached Bridge Formula Weights brochure:

Weight Distribution Formula (Bridge Formula)

W = 500 ((L N)/(N-1) + 12N + 36)

W = overall gross weightN = number of axles under considerationL = distance in feet between extremes of axles under consideration

SECTION III: Interstate Highway (Contracts Let Prior to October 31, 2008)

A. Weight based on axle loadings

1) Two axle truck (one front, one rear) 18,000# each axle Total = 36,000#

- 2) Three axle straight (one front, tandem rear) Front axle = 18,000# Tandem rear = 32,000# Total = 50,000#
- 3) Four axle straight (one front, three rear) Front axle = 18,000# Single axle rear = 18,000# Tandem axle rear = 32,000# Total = 68,000#

4) Three axle truck tractor and trailer (one axle front of tractor, one rear of tractor, one rear of trailer)

Front axle = 18,000# Rear axle Tractor = 18,000# Rear axle Trailer = 18,000# Total = 54,000#

5) Four axle truck and trailer (one front of tractor, one rear of tractor, tandem rear of trailer)

Front axle Tractor = 18,000# Rear axle Tractor = 18,000# Tandem axle Trailer = 32,000# Total = 68,000# 6) Four axle truck tractor and trailer (one front of tractor, tandem rear of tractor, one rear of trailer)

Front axle Tractor = 18,000# Tandem rear Tractor = 32,000# Single axle Trailer = 18,000# Total = 68,000#

7) Five axle tractor and trailer (one front of tractor, tandem rear of tractor, tandem rear of trailer)

Total = 73,280#

B. Weight Distribution Formula (Bridge Formula)

W = 500 ((L N)/(N-1) + 12N + 36)

W = overall gross weightN = number of axles under considerationL = distance in feet between extremes of axles under consideration

The weights shown in Sub-Section A above can be increased if the Weight Distribution Formula is <u>not</u> violated. However, the weights shown in the section for Non-Interstate Highways may <u>not</u> be exceeded on Interstate Highways regardless of the Weight Distribution Formula.

Copy of Bridge Formula Weights brochure is attached.



Bridge Formula Weights

With a few exceptions noted in this pamphlet, the Bridge Formula establishes the maximum weight any set of axles on a motor vehicle may carry on the Interstate highway system. This pamphlet describes the Bridge Formula, why it was established, and how it is used.

What is it?

Congress enacted the Bridge Formula in 1975 to limit the weight-to-length ratio of a vehicle crossing a bridge. This is accomplished either by spreading weight over additional axles or by increasing the distance between axles.

Compliance with Bridge Formula weight limits is determined by using the following formula:

$$W = 500 \left[\frac{LN}{N-1} + 12N + 36 \right]$$


- \mathbf{W} = the overall gross weight on any group of two or more consecutive axles to the nearest 500 pounds.
- L = the distance in feet between the outer axles of any group of two or more consecutive axles.
- \mathbf{N} = the number of axles in the group under consideration.

In addition to Bridge Formula weight limits, Federal law states that single axles are limited to 20,000 pounds, and axles closer than 96 inches apart (tandem axles) are limited to 34,000 pounds. Gross vehicle weight is limited to 80,000 pounds (23 U.S.C. 127).

Is the Formula Necessary?

Bridges on the Interstate System highways are designed to support a wide variety of vehicles and their expected loads. As trucks grew heavier in the 1950s and 1960s, something had to

1



be done to protect bridges. The solution was to link allowable weights to the number and spacing of axles.

Axle spacing is as important as axle weight in designing bridges. In Figure 1A, the stress on bridge members as a longer truck rolls across is much less than that caused by a short vehicle as shown in Figure 1B, even though both trucks have the same total weight and individual axle weights. The weight of the longer vehicle is spread out, while the weight of the shorter vehicle is concentrated on a smaller area.

How is the Formula Used?

The weight on various axle configurations must be checked to determine compliance with the Bridge Formula. Three definitions are needed to use the Bridge Formula correctly.

Gross Weight—the weight of a vehicle or vehicle combination and any load thereon. The Federal gross weight limit on the Interstate System is 80,000 pounds unless the Bridge Formula dictates a lower weight limit.


2

Single-Axle Weight—The total weight on one or more axles whose centers are spaced not more 40 inches apart. The Federal single-axle weight limit on the Interstate System is 20,000 pounds.

Tandem-Axle Weight—The total weight on two or more consecutive axles whose centers are spaced more than 40 inches apart but not more than 96 inches apart. The Federal tandemaxle weight limit on the Interstate System is 34,000 pounds.

Interstate System weight limits in some States may be higher than the figures noted above due to "grandfather" rights. When the Interstate System axle and gross weight limits were first adopted in 1956, and amended in 1975, States were allowed to keep or "grandfather" weight limits that were higher.

Bridge Formula calculations yield a series of weights (Bridge Table, pages 5-6). It is important to note that the single-axle weight limit replaces the Bridge Formula weight limit on axles not more than 40 inches apart, and the tandem-axle weight limit replaces the Bridge Formula weight limit for axles over 40 but not more than 96 inches apart. At 97 inches apart, for example, two axles may carry 38,000 pounds (Figure 2A), and three axles may carry 42,000 pounds, as shown in Figure 2B.



Federal law states that any two or more consecutive axles may not exceed the weight computed by the Bridge Formula even though single axles, tandem axles, and gross weight are within legal limits. As a result, the axle group that includes the entire truck—sometimes called the "outer bridge" group must comply with the Bridge Formula. However, interior combinations of axles, such as the "tractor bridge" (axles 1, 2, and 3) and "trailer bridge" (axles 2, 3, 4, and 5), must also comply with weights computed by the Bridge Formula (Figure 3).






Figure 3 shows the most common vehicle checked for compliance with weight limit requirements. Although the Bridge Formula applies to each combination of two or more axles, experience shows that axle combinations 1 through 3, 1 through 5, and 2 through 5 are critical and must be checked. If these combinations are found to be satisfactory, then all of the others on this type of vehicle normally will be satisfactory.

The vehicle with weights and axle dimensions shown in Figure 4 is used to illustrate a Bridge Formula check.



Distance in feet (L) between the extremes		Base	d on weigh	t formula	W = 500	<u>LN</u> + 12N N-1	+ 36	
of any group of 2 or more consecutive axles		—— Maximu	m load in po	unds carried on	any group of 2	2 or more conse	ecutive axles ² -	
L N=	2 AXLES	3 AXLES	4 AXLES	5 AXLES	6 AXLES	7 AXLES	8 AXLES	9 AXLES
m ~ 4	34,000							
5	34,000							
t { 6	34,000							
7	34,000							
L ₈	34,000	34,000						
More than 8/less than 9	38,000	42,000						
9	39,000	42,500						
10 11	40,000	43,500 44,000						
12		45,000	50,000					
13		45,500	50,500					
14		46,500	51,500					
15		47,000	52,000					
16		48,000*	52,500	58,000				
17		48,500	53,500	58,500				
18		49,500	54,000	59,000				
19 Example 20 (see page 7)		50,000	54,500 55,500	60,000 60,500	66.000			
21	·····	51,500	56,000	61,000	66,500			
22		52,500	56,500	61,500	67,000			
23		53,000	57,500	62,500	68,000			
24		54,000	58,000	63,000	68,500	74,000		
25		54,500	58,500	63,500	69,000	74,500		
26	•••••	55,500	59,500	64,000	69,500	75,000		
27		56,000	60,000	65,000	70,000	75,500		
28 29		57,000 57,500	60,500 61,500	65,500 66,000	71,000 71,500	76,500 77,000	82,000 82,500	
30		58,500	62,000	66,500	72,000	77,500	83,000	
31		59,000	62,500	67,500	72,500	78,000	83,500	
32		60,000	63,500	68,000	73,000	78,500	84,500	90,000
33			64,000	68,500	74,000	79,000	85,000	90,500
34			64,500	69,000	74,500	80,000	85,500	91,000
35			65,500	70,000	75,000	80,500	86,000	91,500
36		Exception	∫ 66,000]	70,500	75,500	81,000	86,500	92,000
37 38		(see page 9)	{ 66,500 } 67,500 }	71,000 71,500	76,000 77,000	81,500 82,000	87,000 87,500	93,000 93,500
39			68,000	72,000	77,500	82,500	88,500	94,000
40			68,500	73,000	78,000	83,500	89,000	94,500
41			69,500	73,500	78,500	84,000	89,500	95,000
42			70,000	74,000	79,000	84,500	90,000	95,500
43			70,500	75,000	80,000	85,000	90,500	96,000
44			71,500	75,500	80,500	85,500	91,000	96,500
45 46			72,000 72,500	76,000 76,500	81,000 81,500	86,000 87,000	91,500 92,500	97,500 98,000
47	·····		73,500	77,500	82,000	87,500	93,000	98,500
48			74,000	78,000	83,000	88,000	93,500	99,000
49			74,500	78,500	83,500	88,500	94,000	99,500
50			75,500	79,000	84,000	89,000	94,500	100,000
51			76,000	80,000	84,500	89,500	95,000	100,500
52			76,500	80,500	85,000	90,500	95,500	101,000
53			77,500 78,000	81,000	86,000 86,500	91,000 91,500	96,500	101,500 102,000
54 55			78,000	81,500 82,500	87,000	91,500	97,000 97,500	102,500
56		Interstate Gross		83,000	87,500	92,500	98,000	103,000
57		Weight Limit	80,000	83,500	88,000	93,000	98,500	104,000
58		(see page 2)		84,000	89,000	94,000	99,000	104,500
59		······································	J	85,000	89,500	94,500	99,500	105,000
60				85,500	90,000	95,000	100,500	105,500
'The values in this table ref fall exactly halfway betwee designed to protect highwa	n 500-pound	increments. Becau	ise the Bridge Fo	ormula is	bination with a	wheelbase of less th	an 45 feet), 3-3 (6-a	2 (5-axle semitrailer com- xle truck trailer combina- with 7 or more axles.




Exception to Formula and Bridge Table

In addition to the grandfather rights noted on page 3, Federal law (23 U.S.C. 127) includes one other exception to the Bridge Formula and the Bridge Table—two consecutive sets of tandem axles may carry 34,000 pounds each if the overall distance between the first and last axles of these tandems is 36 feet or more. For example, a five-axle tractor-semitrailer combination may carry 34,000 pounds both on the tractor tandem (axles 2 and 3) and the trailer tandem (axles 4 and 5), provided axles 2 and 5 are spaced at least 36 feet apart. Without this exception, the Bridge Formula would allow an actual weight of only 66,000 to 67,500 pounds on tandems spaced 36 to 38 feet apart.

Bridge Formula Application

to Single-Unit Trucks

The procedure described above could be used to check any axle combinations, but several closely spaced axles usually produce the most critical situation.



The truck shown in Figure 8 satisfies the single-axle weight limit (12,000 pounds are less than 20,000 pounds), the tandem-axle limit (30,000 pounds are less than 34,000 pounds) and the gross-weight limit (57,000 pounds are less than 80,000 pounds). With these restrictions satisfied, a check is done for Bridge Formula requirements, axles 1 through 4.

Actual Weight= 12,000 + 15,000 + 15,000 + 15,000 = 57,000 pounds.

Maximum weight (W) = 57,500 pounds (Bridge Table for "L" of 23 feet and "N" of 4 axles).

Since axles 1 through 4 are satisfactory, check axles 2 through 4:

Actual weight = 15,000 + 15,000 + 15,000 = 45,000 pounds.

Maximum weight (W) = 42,500 pounds (Bridge Table for "L" of 9 feet and "N" of 3 axles).

This is a violation because the actual weight exceeds the weight allowed by the Bridge Formula. The load must either be reduced, axles added, or spacing increased to comply with the Bridge Formula.

Quality Assurance Statement

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) provides highquality information to serve Government, industry, and the public in a manner that promotes public understanding. Standards and policies are used to ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of its information. FHWA periodically reviews quality issues and adjusts its programs and processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.

10

Example of Truck Weight Limits Calculations

Truck Weight Formula:

$$=500\left(\frac{LN}{N-1}+12N+36\right)$$

Determine Maximum Total Truck Weight Limit:

Truck # 3 from List: L = 19 ft (Distance between Extreme Axles) N = 4 (Number of Axles)

$$= 500 \left(\frac{19 \times 4}{4 - 1} + 48 + 36 \right)$$

 $= 54,650 \ lbs.$

Determine Weight of Concrete:

Class D Design for CNM 364 has a unit weight of 141.4 $\frac{lbs.}{ft^3}$

Convert Unit Weight from $\frac{lbs.}{ft^3}$ to $\frac{lbs.}{yd^3}$

$$141.4\frac{lbs.}{ft^3} \times 27\frac{ft^3}{yd^3} = 3,817.8\frac{lbs.}{yd^3}$$

Determine Maximum Concrete Weight Allowed:

Tare Weight = 33,420 lbs. for Truck 3 from List.

Max Truck Wt. – Truck #3 Tare Wt. = Concrete Weight Allowed

54,650 *lbs*. -33,420 *lbs*. = 21,230 *lbs*.

Determine Concrete Load size:

 $\frac{Weight of Concrete Allowed (lbs.)}{Unit Weight of Concrete (\frac{lbs.}{yd^3})} = Concrete Load Size (yd^3)$

 $\frac{21,230 \ lbs.}{3,817.8 \ \frac{lbs.}{yd^3}} = 5.5 \ yd^3$

		F	TENNESSEE		DEPARTME CONCRETE T	NT RUCK	TRA CKLIST	OF TRANSPORTATION CHECKLIST	TION		
рате	07/01/2014										
PRODUCER	Concrete Ready Mix	/ Mix				PLANT LO	DCATION	PLANT LOCATION Nashville, TN			I
	VERIFY	ITEMS MEE	VERIFY ITEMS MEET SPECIFICATIONS	IONS				DISTANCE FROM CL		ALLOWABLI	ALLOWABLE GROSS WEIGHT
TRUCK NO.	MANUFACTURERS PLATE	REVOLUTION COUNTER	MIXER BLADE WEAR	WATER GAUGE	TAG#	BASE COUNTY	NO. AXLES		TARE WEIGHT	INTERSTATE	NON-INTERSTATE
F1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	XXXXX	Davidson	4	19 ft	32,700	54,640	74,000
F2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	XXXXX	Davidson	4	19 ft	33,000	54,640	74,000
F3	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	XXXXX	Davidson	4	19 ft	33,420	54,640	74,000
F4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	XXXXX	Davidson	ю	19 ft	31,140	50,000	66,000
F5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	XXXXX	Davidson	ю	19 ft	31,300	50,000	66,000
F6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	XXXXX	Davidson	3	19 ft	31,350	50,000	66,000

CIRCULAR LETTER

Section:604.17 Concrete StructuresNumber:604.17-01Subject:Bridge Deck Construction ChecklistDate:January 25, 2000

The following pages contain a checklist procedure to be followed before, during and after bridge deck pours and a list of factors that adversely affect deck construction.

PAGE 1 STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CONTRACT	CONTRACTOR
PROJECT	BRIDGE NUMBER
REFERENCE	STATION NUMBER
COUNTY	LANE (IF APPL)

BRIDGE DECK CONSTRUCTION CHECK LIST TO BE COMPLETED BEFORE, DURING AND AFTER BRIDGE DECK POURS

THIS REPORT IS TO COMPLETED BY THE APPROPRIATE PROJECT PERSONNEL AND COPIES SENT TO THE CONTRACTOR <u>AND</u> <u>MAINTAINED IN THE PROJECT FILES FOR REVIEW </u>

PAGE 2

BRIDGE DECK CONSTRUCTION PRE-POUR CHECKLIST

CO	NTRACT	CONTRACTOR
PRO	OJECT	STRUCTURE
REI	FERENCE	STATION
CO	UNTY	LANE/SPAN
1.	 Check forms: a. Clean, free of major defects. b. Mortar tight. c. Line and grade. d. Structurally adequate to insure minimized 	nimum settlement in deck or overhang.
2.	 Check rebar: a. Clean. b. Dimensionally correct. c. Supported per specs and Standard Note: Overhang may require different d. Document rebar quantities in field 	erent supports.
3.	Check screed rails and headers for line and	d grade.
4.	Check screed for camber, insure is correct	for template.
5.	Make dry run with screed, check for corre Document thickness and clearances in fiel Note: Check mechanical condition of scre	d book.
6.	Check access to site for concrete trucks, h grading, etc., if required.	ave equipment on hand for towing,
7.	and water gauges working, and loa c. Insure enough approved trucks av	on approved list, all revolution counters ad does not exceed mixing capacity. ailable to maintain required pouring rate. ates, cement, and additives are on hand for
8.	Check to be sure Contractor has scheduled	l enough personnel to handle pour,

including equipment mechanics.

	Circular Letter 604.17-01 (Rev. 1/21/00)	PAGE 3
	BRIDGE DECK CONSTRUCTION (Cont'd) PRE-POUR CHECKLIST	
9.	Have Contractor verify the availability and operability of all necessary equipment, including finishing machines, continuous water source or portable tanks, water distribution equipment, two work bridges, vibrators, sprayers, 12 ft. straightedge and appropriate backup items.	
10.	Obtain material certifications for the curing compound and burlap, and for the polyethylene where applicable. Check to be sure an adequate supply of these curing materials is available.	
11.	Where placement by pumping requires more than one setup, obtain proposed plan from the Contractor showing the locations of the pumping equipment, the location(s) of the leading edge of the concrete pour while repositioning the pumping equipment and a realistic time for each work delay anticipated while repositioning pumping equipment.	
12.	Require the Contractor to designate which of the pumping configurations listed in Subsection 604.17(a) will be used at the end of the discharge line. No exceptions are to be made, other than alternative equipment proposed under Subsection 105.17 and approved in writing by the Division of Structures under the conditions of that Subsection.	
13.	Have the Contractor designate his/her authorized representative who will be present and have the authority to represent the Contractor during the bridge deck pour.	
14.	Hold Pre-Pour Conference to coordinate and confirm above items. Note: Place copy of Pre-pour conference minutes in project files.	
	INSPECTOR	
	TITLE	
	DATE	

REV. 9/28/99 PAGE 4

BRIDGE DECK CONSTRUCTION CHECKLIST DURING POUR

Ansv	ver "Yes" or "No" except as noted and elaborate on "No" answers.					
1.	Are all concrete trucks on the approved list?					
2.	Is plastic concrete checked several times behind screed for slab depth and rebar cover and documented?					
3.	Do pour, finishing operations and deck finish comply with specifications?					
4.	Do checks of the pour rate indicate it is satisfactory? (at least 20'/hr. along roadway)					
5.	Has the deck been straightedged and any deficiencies corrected?					
6.	Are required tests on concrete made and the data recorded in book and on tickets?					
7.	Is the amount of curing compound checked both before use and after deck pour to determine quantity used? Compute rate and show here in ft. ² /gal.					
8.	Is the curing compound applied as soon as the water sheen disappears from the surface of the concrete?					
9.	From a work bridge, is damp burlap placed as soon as surface will support the burlap without undue marring of the concrete?					
10.	After placement, is the burlap immediately wet with a misty spray and kept wet thereafter with a continuously fed soaker hose?					
11.	Is the burlap properly anchored to provide full protection to the concrete?					
	INSPECTOR					
	TITLE					
	DATE					

BRIDGE DECK CONSTRUCTION POST POUR CHECKLIST

- Check curing process every day to be sure deck is kept wet.
 Note: Suggest checking early A.M., midday, and late P.M., at a minimum.
- 2. Check bridge deck for deficiencies using 12' straightedge and/or profilograph as required by specifications and have contractor make necessary corrections.
- 3. Review "Pre-Pour" and "During Pour" checklists and observations, give written instructions to Contractor concerning any unsatisfactory conditions of deficiencies to insure these are not repeated on next pour.
- 4. Place copy of all checklists, Pre-Pour Conference minutes, and instructions to Contractor in project file.

INSPECTOR	
TITLE	
DATE	

FACTORS THAT ADVERSELY AFFECT DECK CONSTRUCTION

- I. Failure to Conduct Proper Pre-pour Inspections
 - a. Inadequate use of pre-pour conferences.
 - b. Insufficient checking of screed ordinates, header profiles, screed rail profiles, condition of equipment, forming, slab thickness and bar reinforcement cover.
 - c. Non-compliance with Section 511 of the Special Provisions and insufficient knowledge of current contract documents.
 - d. Inadequate dry run with screed to check slab thickness and bar reinforcement cover.
 - e. Failure to take strong positive corrective action.
 - f. Failure to observe and adhere to plan notes requiring that all elevations and dimensions on structures to be widened be verified in the field prior to ordering materials.
- II. Condition of Equipment
 - a. Poor Maintenance.
 - b. Inadequate backup system.
 - c. Inability to vary speed of screed strike-off mechanism and travel speed of screed independently.
 - d. Lack of adequate review of equipment condition.
- III. Improper Use of Screeds
 - a. Failure to keep concrete raked down in front of screed.
 - b. Failure to make more than one pass with a longitudinal screed.
 - c. A & B above adversely affects deck profile and deck finish.
- IV. Failure to Meet the Minimum Required Concrete Placement Rates
 - a. Concrete Supply Problems
 - i. Inadequate delivery
 - ii. Failure to receive a uniform or consistent concrete mix.
 - b. Concrete Placement Problems
 - i. Inability to strike-off concrete in a timely fashion in order to straightedge and achieve corrective work that may be necessary.
 - ii. Failure to achieve adequate final finish and curing.
 - iii. Failure to achieve a reasonable concrete placement rate contributes to shy deck thickness and bar reinforcement cover.
- V. Failure to Read and Have Current Knowledge of Contract Documents
- VI. Lack of Sufficiently Trained and Experienced Personnel on the Part of the Department of Transportation and the Contractor.

Concrete Certified Technicians

CONCRETE PRODUCER _____ LOCATION: _____

TECHNICIAN QUALIFICATIONS

Concrete Field Testing Techn	icians (TDOT Level 1 or e	equivalent)
Name	Certification Number	Expiration Date

Concrete Plant Technician	ns (TDOT Level 2 or equiv	/alent)
Name	Certification Number	Expiration Date

Concrete Mix Design Technic	cians (TDOT Level 3 or ea	quivalent)
Name	Certification Number	Expiration Date

Concrete Constituent Materials

CONCRETE PRODUCER _____ LOCATION: _____

MATERIALS, SOURCES, LOCATIONS

MATERIAL	TYPE/BRAND	PRODUCER	LOCATION
Coarse Aggregate 1			
Coarse Aggregate 2			
Coarse Aggregate 3			
Coarse Aggregate 4			
Fine Aggregate 1 (Nat.)			
Fine Aggregate 2 (Nat.)			
Fine Aggregate 3 (Mfg.)			
Fine Aggregate 4 (Mfg.)			
Cement (specify Type)			
Flyash (specify Class)			
Slag (specify Grade)			
Water Reducer			
Retarder			
Accelerator			
Reducer/Retarder			
High-Range Reducer			
High-Range Reducer/Retarder			
Air Entrainer			
Latex Modifier			
Fibers			
Lithium Nitrate			
Corrosion Inhibitor			
Coloring			



STATE OF TENNESSEE **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** DIVISION OF MATERIALS AND TESTS 6601 CENTENNIAL BLVD. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0360

D

CONTRACTOR MATERIAL CERTIFICATION AND/OR

SAMPLING AND TESTING RECORD

Project Referenc	e No. STP-M-1234(5)	County	MONTGOMERY	Region 3
Project No.		Contract No.		234
Contractor	Bob Jones	Heat No.		Size
Date Sampled	12-Jan-03	Date Received at I	Lab	13-Jan-03
Identification	see below	Date Reported	14	1-Jan-03
Submitted by	John Smith	Sampled by	Bill S	Smith
Sampled from	Certifications	Amount Represen	ted	see below
Producer	Kern Brothers	Location	Clarks	/ille
Manufacturer	see below	Location	Atlanta,	GA
Lab Serial No.	A123	Report No.	34-	234
ITEM NUMBER	DESCRIPT	TION, FIELD USE AND/OR LAB	USE	QUANTITY
	PAVEMENT MARKING SHEETS			
716.05.20	SHERWIN WILLIAMS - WHITE PAINT - BA	ATCH NO.		
716.05.20	M2501			560 GAL
716.05.20	M3171			23 GAL
716.05.20	M2681			5 GAL
	TOTAL			588 GAL
	SHERWIN WILLIAMS - WHITE PAINT - BA	ATCH NO.		
716.05.20	M2991			296 GAL
716.05.20	M1701			78 GAL
716.05.20	M2971			40 GAL
	TOTAL			414 GAL
	INCIDENTAL ITEMS:			

	Bob Jones
	Contractor's Personnel Signature
THE CONTRACTOR MUST FILL OUT THIS PORTION PROVIDED THE	MODALY CERTIFIED BUT IS NOT PROJECT
IDENTIFIED. A NOTARIZED SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED. I hereby certify that the above referenced material to be incorporated into this projection.	ached manufacturer's certification.
Contractor/Employee Signature Bing Broker	B.B. Construction Co.
Sworn to and subscribed before methis 20th day of Jan	nuar, Wnog N. U3
WITNESSED BY:	My commission expires on 23-Dec-05
V	
T.D.O.T. Use Only	
This materials accepted by certification and visual inspection.	
Accepted By:	OR John Anth
Reviewed By:	Project Supervisor
Regional Materials and Tests	
This material requirements of the specification the specification of the	on for see item numbers above
does not meet	
Tested by	Approved
	Engr. Of Materials and Tests